

Optimizing the Allocation of Trials to Sub-Regions in Crop Variety Testing

Maryna Prus

cont. as joint work with L. Filová, H.-P. Piepho and W. Malik

September 11, 2025

DFG Deutsche
Forschungsgemeinschaft



XII Working Seminar on Statistical Methods in Variety Testing

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model Specification
- 3 Optimal Allocation of Trials
- 4 Example

The Experiment

- P sub-regions
- J locations:
 - J_i locations in sub-region i
 - $J = \sum_{i=1}^P J_i$
- L blocks in each location
- K genotypes in each block
- H years, typically $H = 3$

To optimize: J_1, \dots, J_P

Overview

- One year experiment, genotype effects uncorrelated
→ P. & Piepho (2021)
- **Multi-annual** experiment, genotype effects uncorrelated
→ P. & Piepho (2024)
- One year experiment, genotype effects **correlated**
→ P. (2025)
- One year experiment, genotype effects **correlated**,
large number of genotypes
→ Bodnar & P. (2026)

Here: *Multi-annual* experiment, genotype effects **correlated**,
large number of genotypes

Linear Mixed Model

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu_i + \eta_h + \lambda_{ij} + \beta_{ih} + \alpha_{ik} + \omega_{hk} + \delta_{ijh} + \gamma_{ijk} + \tau_{ihk} + \phi_{ijhk} + b_{ijhl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

- $i = 1, \dots, P$, P - number of sub-regions
- $j = 1, \dots, J_i$, J_i - number of locations in i -th sub-region
 - $J = \sum_{i=1}^P J_i$ - total number of locations
- $l = 1, \dots, L$, L - number of blocks in each location
- $k = 1, \dots, K$, K - number of genotypes in each block
- $h = 1, \dots, H$, H - number of years

Linear Mixed Model

$$Y_{ijhkl} = \mu_i + \eta_h + \lambda_{ij} + \beta_{ih} + \alpha_{ik} + \omega_{hk} + \delta_{ijh} + \gamma_{ijk} + \tau_{ihk} + \phi_{ijhk} + b_{ijhl} + \varepsilon_{ijhkl}$$

- μ_i - mean (fixed) effect of i -th sub-region
- η_h - effect of h -th year, $\text{var}(\eta_h) = \sigma_\eta^2$
- λ_{ij} - effect of j -th location within i -th sub-region, $\text{var}(\lambda_{ij}) = \sigma_\lambda^2$
- β_{ih} - effect of i -th sub-region in h -th year, $\text{var}(\beta_{ih}) = \sigma_\beta^2$
- α_{ik} - effect of genotype k in sub-region i ,
 $\text{Cov}(\alpha) = \mathbf{U}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1^\top, \dots, \alpha_K^\top)^\top$, $\alpha_k = (\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{Pk})^\top$
 Often $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{V}$

Linear Mixed Model

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu_i + \eta_h + \lambda_{ij} + \beta_{ih} + \alpha_{ik} + \omega_{hk} + \delta_{ijh} + \gamma_{ijk} + \tau_{ikh} + \phi_{ijhk} + b_{ijhl} + \varepsilon_{ijhkl}$$

- ω_{kh} - effect of genotype k in h -th year, $\text{var}(\omega_{kh}) = \sigma_\omega^2$
- δ_{ijh} - effect of location j within sub-region i in h -th year, $\text{var}(\delta_{ijh}) = \sigma_\delta^2$
- γ_{ijk} - effect of genotype k in location j within sub-region i , $\text{var}(\gamma_{ijk}) = \sigma_\gamma^2$
- τ_{ikh} - effect of genotype k within sub-region i in h -th year, $\text{var}(\tau_{ikh}) = \sigma_\tau^2$
- ϕ_{ijhk} - effect of genotype k in location j in h -th year, $\text{var}(\phi_{ijhk}) = \sigma_\phi^2$

Linear Mixed Model

$$Y_{ijhkl} = \mu_i + \eta_h + \lambda_{ij} + \beta_{ih} + \alpha_{ik} + \omega_{hk} + \delta_{ijh} + \gamma_{ijk} + \tau_{ihk} + \phi_{ijhk} + b_{ijhl} + \varepsilon_{ijhkl}$$

- b_{ijhl} - effect of block l in location j in h -th year, $\text{var}(b_{ijhl}) = \sigma_b^2$
- ε_{ijhkl} - observational error, $\text{var}(\varepsilon_{ijhkl}) = \sigma^2$
- All random effects and observational errors are uncorrelated and have zero mean

LMM here: *Cross-classification* - same locations each year

Often used: *Nested model* - locations nested within year

→ particular case, without λ_{ij} and γ_{ijk}

Optimization Problem

Search for optimal numbers of locations J_1, \dots, J_P for

- prediction of **genotype effects**

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$$

- prediction of **pairwise linear contrasts**

$$\theta^{k,k'} = \alpha_k - \alpha_{k'}, \quad k \neq k'$$

for all pairs of genotypes

for given total number of locations J

Mean Squared Error (MSE) Matrix

MSE matrix for BLUP $\hat{\alpha}$ of genotype effects:

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = \left\{ \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{I}_K - \frac{1}{K} \mathbf{1}_K \mathbf{1}_K^\top) \otimes [(\mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{F})^{-1} + \mathbf{R}]^{-1} + \mathbf{U}^{-1} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \text{block-diag}(\mathbf{1}_{J_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{J_P})$$

$$c = \sigma_\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\sigma_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{L} \sigma^2 \right)$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\sigma_\tau^2}{cH} \mathbf{I}_P + \frac{\sigma_\omega^2}{cH} \mathbf{1}_P \mathbf{1}_P^\top$$

Experimental Design

Exact design:

$$\xi := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_P \\ J_1 & \dots & J_P \end{pmatrix}$$

x_1, \dots, x_P - sub-regions

Approximate design:

$$\xi := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_P \\ w_1 & \dots & w_P \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_i = J_i/J$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^P w_i = 1 \quad \& \quad w_i \geq 0$$

Experimental Design

Moment matrix:

$$\mathbf{M}(\xi) = \text{diag}(w_1, \dots, w_P)$$

For exact designs

$$\mathbf{M}(\xi) = \frac{1}{J} \mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{F} = \text{block-diag}(\mathbf{1}_{J_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{J_P})$$

Search for optimal weights w_i^ to minimize*

MSE matrix of $\hat{\alpha}$ or $\hat{\theta}$

A-Criterion for Genotype Effects

A-criterion for prediction of **genotype effects** α

$$\Phi_A = \text{tr}(\text{Cov}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha))$$

$$\Phi_A(\xi) = \text{const} + \frac{c}{J} \text{tr} \left[(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{M}(\xi) + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{R}} + (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \tilde{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \right]^{-1} \quad \& \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^2 (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \mathbf{B}$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{I}_K - \frac{1}{K} \mathbf{1}_K \mathbf{1}_K^\top \quad \& \quad \tilde{\mathbf{U}} = J/c \mathbf{U} \quad \& \quad \tilde{\mathbf{R}} = J \mathbf{R}$$

K-Bayesian linear criterion but! \mathbf{H} singular

Computational method proposed in P. (2025) cannot be directly used

A-Criterion for Pairwise Linear Contrasts

A-criterion for prediction of **pairwise linear contrasts**

$$\Phi_A = \text{tr} \left(\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \right)$$

$$\Phi_A(\xi) = \text{const} + \frac{c}{J} \text{tr} \left[(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{M}(\xi) + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{R}} + (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \tilde{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \right]^{-1} \quad \& \quad \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \tilde{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \tilde{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{I}_P) \mathbf{B}$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{I}_K - \frac{1}{K} \mathbf{1}_K \mathbf{1}_K^\top \quad \& \quad \tilde{\mathbf{U}} = J/c \mathbf{U} \quad \& \quad \tilde{\mathbf{R}} = J \mathbf{R}$$

→ $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ *singular*

→ *Computational method has to be extended*

Example (*Kleinknecht et al. (2013)*)

$P = 5$ sub-regions, $H = 3$ years, $J = 20$ locations

Variances:

$$\sigma_{\omega}^2 = 31 \quad \& \quad \sigma_{\tau}^2 = 18 \quad \& \quad \sigma_{\gamma}^2 = 160 \quad \& \quad \sigma_{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{L}\sigma^2 = 333$$

Covariance matrix of genotype effects: $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{V}$, \mathbf{N} is AR(1)

$$\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{N}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,K} \quad \& \quad \mathbf{N}_{ij} = \rho^{|i-j|}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 567 & 254 & 239 & 485 & 328 \\ 254 & 155 & 118 & 240 & 162 \\ 239 & 118 & 155 & 226 & 153 \\ 485 & 240 & 226 & 488 & 310 \\ 328 & 162 & 153 & 310 & 215 \end{pmatrix}$$

Optimal Designs for A-Criterion for Genotype Effects

Optimal numbers of locations per sub-region

ρ	H	K	Approximate design ξ_a^*					Exact design ξ_e^*				
			w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	J_1	J_2	J_3	J_4	J_5
1/3	2	5	0.42	0.05	0.09	0.39	0.05	8	1	2	8	1
		10	0.41	0.05	0.11	0.38	0.05	8	1	2	8	1
	6	5	0.36	0.09	0.16	0.34	0.05	7	2	3	7	1
		10	0.34	0.11	0.17	0.33	0.05	7	2	3	7	1
2/3	2	5	0.45	0.05	0.05	0.40	0.05	9	1	1	8	1
		10	0.42	0.05	0.10	0.38	0.05	8	1	2	8	1
	6	5	0.40	0.05	0.12	0.38	0.05	8	1	2	8	1
		10	0.36	0.10	0.15	0.34	0.05	7	2	3	7	1

$\rho = 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{I}_K \rightarrow$ same OD as in P. & Piepho (2024)

Computation using OptimalDesign Package in R

Literature

Harman, R. and Filová, L. (2019). Package 'OptimalDesign'. <https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/OptimalDesign/index.html>.

Kleinknecht, K., Möhring, J., Singh, K., Zaidi, P., Atlin, G., and Piepho, H.-P. (2013). Comparison of the performance of BLUE and BLUP for zoned indian maize data. *Crop Science*, 53, 1384-1391.

Prus, M. (2025). Computing optimal allocation of trials to subregions in crop-variety testing in case of correlated genotype effects. *Statistica Neerlandica*

Prus, M. and Piepho, H.-P. (2021). Optimizing the allocation of trials to sub-regions in multi-environment crop variety testing. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*.

Literature

Prus, M. and Piepho, H.-P. (2024). Optimizing the allocation of trials to sub-regions in crop variety testing with multiple years and locations. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*

Bodnar T. and Prus, M. (2026) Dimension reduction for optimal design problems with Kronecker-product structure: Application in crop variety testing. *Submitted manuscript*.

Thank you for your attention!



UNIVERSITY OF
HOHENHEIM